Debrief Strategic Initiatives Process

- The CTC has submitted two Strategic Initiatives for consideration to the Budget Advisory Group: the Enterprise Content Management System (ECMS) and a proposal for Research Data Storage. The Allen Hall project is being funded through other sources.
- How can the process be improved upon? How do we measure success? Having something funded is not necessarily a measure of success, as the ECMS proposal is in reality too costly to submit through this process.
- The November 20th event was successful in that campus stakeholders had a chance to communicate. Data collected from the event could be used to build a comprehensive IT strategy for campus, which may need to be done without having a campus strategic plan in place. Feedback indicated the forum should have happened earlier in the year.
- Moving forward, need to stop focusing on the Strategic Initiative deadline for proposals, and instead develop a holistic plan and vision for IT priorities on campus. By disconnecting to the budget process, this group can focus on what is important at the student/infrastructure/research level and begin prioritizing by looking at the entire picture. Ask "What is important on a college campus?" then go find

- Kathy and Melissa: Discuss facilitator for strategic plan. Find someone to facilitate the process.
- Melissa: Find summary material on where other campuses are going in IT.
the funds. BUT, how do we actually move forward?

- Need to set a deadline to have an IT strategic plan in place. Is July 1 doable? Need to establish priorities, bite-sized in addition to large dreams, then look for money or make policy changes. Hiring a third party to write a strategic plan would be beneficial and would save time. How to fund this? Recommendations on a facilitator?
- Need to look at where we are in terms of infrastructure markers; worth looking at average research university markers and how we compare. We know we are very low when comparing IT support per capita compared to other universities.
- Look at where we are and where we want to be, the strategic plan will come from this.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Functions of the Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Vet Information Security policies being written by Will Laney, Chief Information Security Officer. These policies will eventually go into the UO Policy Library. The University’s current InfoSec policies were inherited from the state. Will is writing policy on data classification, information security and a data breach procedure. This needs to be completed before we can choose a cloud service provider, and until it is finished stands in the way of putting together data governance. Policies should include laws, and explain why it is there. Will’s versions will go through the Advisory Groups, then come to the CTC for further recommendations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- There is a committee that is currently restructuring the policy library by topic so that things will be easier to find. In the interim, it is hard to know what supersedes what. Make sure to use and look at version control when looking at drafts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Miscellaneous:

Recorder: <klsmith>